



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,431	10/11/2001	Bernd Laquai	200010619	9,100	
75	90 05/10/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	EENLEY, ESQ.	MAI, T	MAI, TAN V		
OHLANDT, GREENLEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, LLP ONE LANDMARK SQUARE 10TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2124	8	
STAMFORD,	CO 06901-2682		DATE MAILED: 05/10/200-	DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	09/975,431	LAQUAI, BERND
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tan V Mai	2124
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10-11</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-8 and 10-12 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of of	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/975,431

Art Unit: 2124

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 1, line 26; Figs. 2a-f, 3a-b, 4a-b, and 5a-b should be mentioned.

Page 8, lines 18-19; "Fig. 7 and 8" should be --Figs. 7 and 8--;

Page 9, lines 15-16; the phrase "an equivalent parallel resonance circuit e.g. serially coupled between the ends of an opened node A or B" should be --an equivalent parallel resonance circuit, e.g., serially coupled between the ends of an opened node A and B--.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a device of Fig. 7 having "equivalent parallel resonance circuit" feature, does not reasonably provide enablement for a [single] filter structure as shown in Fig. 8 having "equivalent parallel resonance circuit" feature. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to made and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The phrase "[t]he **filter** of claim 3, ...coupled as a series <u>or a **parallel resonance**</u> circuit" is misdescriptive and <u>inoperative</u> because the <u>filter structure (20) as shown in Fig. 8</u> can NOT operate in "equivalent parallel resonance circuit" by itself. It is noted

Application/Control Number: 09/975,431

Art Unit: 2124

that the device [which includes a filter (20)] of Fig. 7 can have an "equivalent parallel resonance circuit" (see page 9, lines 14-16) because the "equivalent parallel resonance circuit" is coupled to at least one of "source impedences" (60A & 60B); however, the claimed invention only recites a filter. Clarification is requested.

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The detail of claim 9 seems to be redundant because it nearly identical to the preamble of independent claim 1. Clarification is requested.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
  - 1. Van Valkenburg discloses, Fig. 3-43, a basic RLC network.
  - 2. Taguchi discloses, Fig. 5, an injection-synchronized VCO having RLC network.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the recorded references do NOT teach or suggest the specifically detail functions of the claimed "circuitry for reacting" / "reacting on a step function" feature as recited in independent claims. It is noted that Van Valkenburg and Taguchi disclose basic RLC networks; however, the references do NOT specifically detail functions of the claimes.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/975,431

Art Unit: 2124

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft

(703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TAN V. MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER